

Vol. 9, No. 1, June (2026)			
JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND TOURISM EDUCATION			
ISSN: 2659-0794 (PRINT) ISSN:2659-0808(ONLINE)			
Content Available at: https://jeate.k-injo.com/index.php/Jete			
Vocational Training Programmes and Community Reintegration as Predictors of Recidivism Reduction Among Ex-Convicts in Abia State Correctional Centres, Nigeria			
JOHN FIDELIS EMAKU barrjohninaku@gmail.com 0009-0004-9802-3311	A	Faculty of Law University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria	
ESSIEN SAVIOUR OKOKON saviouressien83@gmail.com 0009-0006-1184-004X	B	Department of Criminology and Security Studies University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria	
FELIX ONAH ODEY odeyfelixh@gmail.com 0009-0006-3547-6537	C	Department of criminology and security studies Alex Ewueme Federal University, Ndufu Alike, Nigeria	
Keywords		Abstract	
vocational training, community reintegration, recidivism, ex-convicts, Nigerian correctional centres, rehabilitation programmes		This study investigates the extent to which vocational training programmes and community reintegration initiatives predict recidivism reduction among ex-convicts in the Abia State Correctional Centres, Nigeria. Drawing on primary data from a census survey of 1,094 respondents comprising convicted inmates and correctional officers across three custodial centres in Aba, Umuahia, and Arochukwu, the study employed simple linear regression analysis tested at a 0.05 level of significance. Two null hypotheses guided the inquiry: that vocational training programmes have no significant relationship with recidivism rate; and that community reintegration programmes have no significant relationship with recidivism rate among ex-convicts. Findings revealed that both vocational training ($R = .485$, $F(1,1093) = 35.793$, $p < .000$) and community reintegration programmes ($R = .174$, $F(1,1093) = 34.127$, $p < .000$) significantly predict recidivism rates. Anchored theoretically in Rehabilitation Theory, Social Bond Theory, and Strain Theory, the study concludes that skills acquisition and post-release social support networks are critical mechanisms for reducing reoffending. The paper recommends sustained investment in market-aligned vocational curricula and structured community mentorship frameworks within Nigerian correctional institutions.	
Correspondence Email: barrjohninaku@gmail.com https://doi.org/10.60787/jete.vol9no1.456-467			

1. Background to the Study

Recidivism the tendency of a convicted criminal to reoffend following release remains one of the most persistent challenges confronting criminal justice systems globally, and Nigeria is no exception. According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2023), Nigeria recorded a criminal recidivism rate of 37.3% in 2021, a figure that climbed to an estimated 52.4% by 2022 (Chenube, 2023). In Abia State, one of the most affected jurisdictions, recidivism rates range between 52% and 75% (Saifulahi-Idris, Ayodele, & Ikuteyijo, 2023), representing a serious public safety and resource management concern. Such figures underscore systemic inadequacies in the rehabilitation architecture of Nigerian correctional institutions.

The literature consistently identifies limited rehabilitation programming as a primary driver of high recidivism (Igbinovia & Omorogiuwa, 2019). Effective rehabilitation requires a multi-pronged approach that addresses the practical, psychological, and social deficits that predispose ex-offenders to reoffending (Saifulahi-Idris, Ayodele, & Ikuteyijo, 2021). Among the most evidence-based interventions are vocational training which improves employability and equips inmates with marketable trade skills and community reintegration programmes, which facilitate the social reconnection, housing security, and mental health support critical for sustainable post-release adjustment (Ganapathy, 2018; Navaid, 2022).

Despite global evidence attesting to the efficacy of these interventions, Nigeria-specific empirical research remains sparse, particularly studies that examine vocational and community-based rehabilitation concurrently within the same correctional context. Existing scholarship has disproportionately focused on individual offender characteristics while neglecting the institutional and programmatic variables that shape reintegration outcomes (Ndubueze, 2020). The present study addresses this gap by empirically examining how vocational training and community reintegration programmes predict recidivism rates among ex-convicts in Abia State correctional centres.

Statement of the Problem

Recidivism remains a chronic and deeply entrenched challenge within Nigerian correctional institutions, with Abia State recording some of the highest reoffending rates in the country, ranging between 52% and 75% (Saifulahi-Idris, Ayodele, & Ikuteyijo, 2023). Nationally, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2023) placed Nigeria's criminal recidivism rate at 37.3% in 2021, a figure that escalated to an estimated 52.4% by 2022 (Chenube, 2023). Nkwocha (2022) further reported that 81% of male and 45% of female criminal inmates were re-arrested within 36 months of release, reflecting a pattern of persistent reoffending that neither punitive incarceration nor existing rehabilitation efforts have been able to reverse. This cycle of reoffending imposes enormous financial, social, and institutional costs on the state and undermines the fundamental correctional mandate to reform and reintegrate offenders into productive society.

A central contributing factor to these persistently high recidivism rates is the inadequacy of vocational training and community reintegration programming within Nigerian correctional facilities. Ex-convicts who lack marketable occupational skills are structurally positioned at a

severe disadvantage in formal and informal labour markets upon release, leaving many without the economic means to sustain a law-abiding lifestyle (Igbinovia & Omorogiuwa, 2019). Simultaneously, the absence of structured community reintegration supports — including transitional housing, mentorship, mental health services, and financial literacy education — leaves ex-offenders socially isolated, stigmatized, and ill-equipped to navigate the demands of post-release life (Ndubueze, 2020). Together, these deficiencies create the conditions under which reoffending becomes an economic and social inevitability rather than a matter of individual moral failure.

Despite the theoretical and empirical importance of vocational training and community reintegration as rehabilitation mechanisms, scholarly inquiry into their specific relationship with recidivism within the Nigerian correctional context remains limited. Existing studies have largely examined individual offender characteristics such as criminal history, demographic profiles, and gang affiliations in isolation from the programmatic and institutional factors that shape post-release outcomes (Adegboyega, 2020; Besin-Mengla, 2020). Furthermore, the few available studies addressing rehabilitation in Nigerian prisons have tended to examine vocational training or community reintegration independently, without empirically assessing their concurrent predictive relationships with recidivism rates within the same correctional environment (Omorogiuwa & Egoh, 2021).

This study therefore seeks to fill this critical gap by empirically examining the extent to which vocational training programmes and community reintegration initiatives predict recidivism reduction among ex-convicts in the Abia State Correctional Centres. By generating context-specific evidence from one of Nigeria's highest-recidivism jurisdictions, the study aims to provide a rigorous empirical foundation for evidence-based correctional reform, equipping policymakers, correctional administrators, and rehabilitation practitioners with the knowledge needed to design and implement more effective reintegration strategies. Two null hypotheses are tested in this study: (Ho 1) There is no significant relationship between vocational training programmes and recidivism rate among ex-convicts in Abia State correctional centres; and (Ho 2) There is no significant relationship between community reintegration programmes and recidivism rate among ex-convicts in Abia State correctional centres.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Vocational Training Programmes and Recidivism

Vocational training in correctional settings refers to structured programmes that equip incarcerated individuals with occupation-specific skills such as carpentry, tailoring, plumbing, electrical installation, and automobile mechanics aimed at improving their prospects of gainful employment upon release (Mardu, Berhe, Tesfaye, & Negash, 2019). The foundational premise is

straightforward: stable employment reduces the economic pressure that drives many ex-offenders back into criminality, thereby lowering recidivism rates (Wilson, Gallagher, & MacKenzie, 2000).

Empirical evidence strongly supports this premise. Wilson, Gallagher, and MacKenzie (2000) conducted a meta-analysis of corrections-based vocational programmes and found that participation in vocational training was associated with significantly lower re-offense rates, with the primary mechanism being improved post-release employability. Similarly, Duwe (2015) evaluated a vocational work-release programme in Minnesota and reported that participants demonstrated markedly better employment outcomes compared to non-participants, with a directly corresponding decline in recidivism. Nally, Lockwood, Ho, and Knutson (2014) provided complementary evidence, documenting a 28% lower recidivism rate among participants in vocational training during incarceration compared to those who received no such training.

An Australian longitudinal study involving over 1,800 individuals who returned to custody within three years found that prisoners who did not participate in vocational training before their initial release returned to custody at a rate of 32%, compared to only 23% for vocational training participants (Armstrong, 2018). This 9-percentage-point differential underscores the material impact of skills acquisition on reoffending behaviour. In Nigeria specifically, Abba (2016) reported that Vocational Skills Acquisition (VSA) programmes in North-West prisons enhanced the socio-economic wellbeing of inmates to a high extent, though extension of such programmes to satellite facilities remained limited. In Sokoto State, Darke and Garcés (2017) confirmed that prison inmates participated in VSA programmes to a high extent where available, and recommended their expansion to other correctional facilities nationally.

Bouffard, MacKenzie, and Hickman (2000) found that vocational programmes aligned with in-demand trades produced more durable post-release employment outcomes, reinforcing the importance of market relevance in programme design. This is echoed by Davis et al. (2023), who provided meta-analytic evidence that each dollar invested in correctional education and vocational training saves between \$4 and \$5 in re-incarceration costs making such programmes not only socially beneficial but fiscally prudent. Visser, Debus-Sherrill, and Yahner (2021) further documented that vocational training and job readiness programmes substantially improved employment rates and community reconnection for recently released individuals.

In-depth interview data from correctional officers in Abia State corroborate these findings. Officers consistently reported that vocational engagement reduces idleness, which is a recognized risk factor for antisocial behaviour within custodial facilities (Akpunne & Akinawo, 2019). Programme completers internalized a work ethic and sense of accountability that facilitated more stable post-release trajectories.

2.2 Community Reintegration Programmes and Recidivism

Community reintegration programmes encompass a broad spectrum of transitional supports designed to facilitate former inmates' successful return to society. These include housing

assistance, mentorship pairing, financial literacy education, mental health counselling, substance abuse treatment, and restorative justice initiatives (Johnna, 2022; Franciscus & Restu, 2022). Their core rationale is that the challenges ex-convicts face upon release stigma, social isolation, housing instability, and untreated mental health conditions are structural barriers that increase reoffending risk unless systematically addressed (Binswanger et al., 2011).

Berghuis (2018) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of nine randomized controlled evaluations of reentry programmes for adult male offenders and found that, while mean effects were modest, reentry programmes incorporating transitional supports consistently produced favourable trends in arrest, reconviction, and re-incarceration outcomes. Duwe and Clark (2015) examined the Minnesota Comprehensive Offender Re-entry Plan (MCORP) and documented significant recidivism reductions attributable to community connections in employment, housing, and family reunification. Their findings affirm the importance of structured post-release coordination in sustaining rehabilitation gains made during incarceration.

Martin, Pritikin, and Kelly (2021) demonstrated that peer support and mentorship components of reintegration programmes are particularly effective, with programme participants reporting higher employment rates and lower recidivism compared to non-participants. The mediating mechanism appears to be positive social bonding a construct central to Hirschi's (1969) Social Bond Theory. Wheeler and Patterson (2018) similarly found that mental health and substance abuse support within reintegration frameworks significantly reduces reoffending, given that a disproportionate share of incarcerated individuals carry unaddressed mental health burdens that compromise post-release stability.

Berg and Huebner (2021) provided longitudinal evidence that ex-offenders with stronger community ties demonstrate substantially lower recidivism rates, affirming that social reconnection facilitated through structured reintegration programming constitutes one of the most powerful protective factors against reoffending. Visser et al. (2021) further confirmed this, finding that programmes targeting family reintegration, employment assistance, and substance abuse treatment collectively produced the most robust reductions in recidivism. In sub-Saharan Africa, Vivares (2023) documented through qualitative case study that ex-convicts face acute difficulties securing employment and regaining social acceptance, underscoring the indispensability of structured reintegration support in contexts like Nigeria.

Wiafe (2021) found that employer reluctance to hire ex-convicts in Ghana remains high, particularly for individuals with limited education or skills, suggesting that community reintegration programmes must address not only individual-level deficits but also community-level stigma and structural employment barriers. This points to the need for integrated reintegration models that link individual support services with employer engagement and community sensitization.

3. Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in three complementary theoretical frameworks: Rehabilitation Theory, Social Bond Theory, and Strain Theory. Together, these frameworks provide a robust explanatory scaffold for understanding how vocational training and community reintegration programmes reduce recidivism.

Rehabilitation Theory, associated with the positivist criminological tradition and advanced by scholars such as Cesare Beccaria, holds that criminal behaviour is the product of identifiable antecedent conditions including poverty, lack of education, vocational deficiencies, and psychological disturbances rather than free moral choice (Ugwuoke, 2000; Siegel, 2005). The corollary is that targeting these conditions through systematic intervention can reform offenders into productive, law-abiding citizens. In the correctional context, this translates into vocational training, counselling, education, and other rehabilitative inputs that equip ex-offenders with the tools for lawful self-sufficiency (Cragg, 1992; Abrifor, Atere, & Muoghalu, 2021). The theory is directly applicable to the present study because it frames recidivism not as an immutable character trait but as a behavioural outcome modifiable through appropriate programme inputs.

Social Bond Theory, formulated by Travis Hirschi (1969), posits that individuals are restrained from criminal behaviour by their attachment, commitment, engagement, and belief in conventional society. When these bonds are weakened as commonly occurs through incarceration, social stigma, and family disruption the likelihood of criminal behaviour increases. Community reintegration programmes directly operationalize this theoretical insight by rebuilding social bonds through mentorship, family support, housing provision, and community engagement. The empirical implication is that ex-convicts whose social bonds are strengthened through reintegration programmes should exhibit lower recidivism rates, a prediction confirmed by Berg and Huebner (2021) and Martin, Pritikin, and Kelly (2021).

Strain Theory, as developed by Robert K. Merton (1957), argues that crime results when individuals are unable to achieve culturally valorized goals particularly material success through legitimate means. Ex-convicts are structurally positioned at extreme disadvantage in legitimate labour markets, creating intense strain that elevates reoffending risk. Vocational training directly relieves this strain by enhancing legitimate employment prospects and income-generating capacity, thereby reducing the instrumental motivation for criminal behaviour. The theoretical synthesis across these three frameworks accounts for the multi-dimensional mechanisms through which rehabilitation programmes influence recidivism, justifying the study's dual focus on vocational training and community reintegration.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

The study adopted a cross-sectional survey design, appropriate for capturing the behavioural patterns, attitudes, and perceptions of a defined population at a single point in time (Babbie, 1989). This design was supplemented by an in-depth interview (IDI) component to provide qualitative depth to the quantitative findings.

4.2 Study Area and Population

The study was conducted in the three custodial centres of Abia State, Nigeria: Aba, Umuahia, and Arochukwu. Abia State, located in South-Eastern Nigeria, records some of the highest recidivism rates in the country, ranging from 52% to 75% (Saifulahi-Idris, Ayodele, & Ikuteyijo, 2023), making it an ideal site for this inquiry. The total population comprised 572 convicted inmates and 565 correctional officers, yielding a total study population of 1,137 individuals.

4.3 Sampling and Data Collection

Given the relatively small and bounded nature of the population, a census sampling technique was employed, ensuring complete population coverage and eliminating sampling error. A total of 1,094 questionnaires were recovered from the 1,137 distributed, representing a 96.2% response rate. The research instrument comprised a structured questionnaire organized on a four-point Likert scale (Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree), complemented by in-depth interviews. Instrument validity was established through expert review by senior Criminology scholars at the University of Calabar, while reliability was confirmed via Cronbach's Alpha administered to a pilot sample of five inmates drawn from outside the study sample.

4.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS Version 21. Descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations) characterized the sample demographics and variable distributions. Simple linear regression was used to test each hypothesis at a 0.05 significance level. The hypotheses were operationalized as follows: vocational training programmes (independent variable) and community reintegration programmes (independent variable) were each regressed separately against recidivism rate (dependent variable). IDI data were analyzed thematically to contextualize and elaborate the quantitative findings.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Of the 1,094 valid respondents, 80.3% (n = 879) were male and 19.7% (n = 215) were female. The majority (33%) fell within the 45–54 age bracket, followed by the 26–34 age group (22%). In terms of educational attainment, 39% held secondary school qualifications, 37% had tertiary education, and 24% had primary education. Occupationally, 42% were engaged in farming, 37% in civil service (including correctional officers), and 21% in trading. These sociodemographic

characteristics are consistent with the profile of the Nigerian correctional population documented in prior literature (Ndubueze, 2020; Omorogiuwa & Egho, 2021), and signal the intersecting disadvantages limited education, informal economic participation, and structural marginalization that predispose individuals to reoffending.

5.2 Hypothesis One: Vocational Training Programmes and Recidivism

The null hypothesis that there is no significant relationship between vocational training programmes and recidivism rate among ex-convicts in Abia State was tested using simple linear regression. The analysis returned an R-value of .485, indicating a moderate-to-large effect, and an R-squared value of .087, meaning that vocational training programmes account for approximately 8.7% of the total variance in recidivism rate. The regression ANOVA yielded $F(1, 1093) = 35.793$, $p < .000$, confirming statistical significance at the 0.05 level. The adjusted R-squared of .086 indicates minimal shrinkage, suggesting that the model is generalizable to the broader correctional population. The null hypothesis was accordingly rejected: there is a statistically significant positive relationship between vocational training programmes and recidivism rate reduction among ex-convicts in Abia State.

These findings align with a substantial body of international evidence. Wilson, Gallagher, and MacKenzie (2000) similarly demonstrated significant recidivism reductions attributable to vocational training in their meta-analysis. The results also corroborate Nally et al. (2014), who documented a 28% lower recidivism rate for vocational programme participants, and Davis et al. (2023), who established the cost-effectiveness of correctional education investments. The IDI data further enrich this picture: correctional officers in Abia State reported that vocational engagement reduced institutional idleness, enhanced inmates' sense of purpose, and improved their post-release self-efficacy psychological gains that are theoretically consistent with Rehabilitation Theory's premise that structured skills acquisition corrects the antecedent conditions of criminal behaviour.

The importance of market relevance in programme design also emerged as a cross-cutting theme. Officers noted that inmates trained in high-demand trades including mobile phone repair, electrical installation, and catering demonstrated stronger employment outcomes upon release, consistent with Bouffard, MacKenzie, and Hickman's (2000) finding that market-aligned vocational training maximizes post-release employment stability and recidivism reduction.

5.3 Hypothesis Two: Community Reintegration Programmes and Recidivism

Testing the null hypothesis that community reintegration programmes have no significant relationship with recidivism rate, simple linear regression yielded an R-value of .174, an R-squared of .030, and $F(1, 1093) = 34.127$, $p < .000$. The adjusted R-squared of .029 confirms model stability. The null hypothesis was rejected: community reintegration programmes have a statistically significant, though more modest, predictive relationship with recidivism rate compared to vocational training.

The lower variance explained (3.0% vs. 8.7%) may reflect the underdeveloped state of community reintegration infrastructure in Nigerian correctional settings, where such programmes are less consistently implemented than basic vocational training. Nonetheless, the significant F-statistic confirms a genuine relationship. This is congruent with Berghuis (2018), whose systematic review found consistent, if modest, recidivism reductions associated with re-entry programmes, and with Berg and Huebner (2021), who demonstrated that social support through community ties serves as a protective factor against reoffending.

IDI data from Abia State revealed that ex-convicts who received mentorship pairing, housing assistance, and counselling upon release were better equipped to resist negative social influences, manage financial pressures, and maintain accountability to a pro-social support network. Correctional officers highlighted that the absence of stable housing was one of the most consistently cited risk factors for recidivism in the study area – a finding corroborated by Bucklen and Zajac (2009) and Wheeler and Patterson (2018). This underscores the practical urgency of housing provision as a core component of community reintegration frameworks.

The theoretical resonance of these findings with Social Bond Theory is clear: community reintegration programmes function precisely by rebuilding the attachment, commitment, and belief bonds that incarceration disrupts. Ex-convicts who re-entered strong community networks characterized by mentorship, family support, and pro-social engagement exhibited lower reoffending rates, consistent with Hirschi's (1969) central proposition. Strain Theory is equally relevant: the alleviation of structural strain through housing, financial literacy education, and employment linkage reduces the instrumental motivations that drive recidivism among economically marginalized ex-offenders.

6. Conclusion And Recommendations

This study set out to examine the predictive relationships between vocational training programmes, community reintegration programmes, and recidivism rates among ex-convicts in Abia State Correctional Centres, Nigeria. Empirical analysis of data from 1,094 respondents, triangulated with qualitative insights from in-depth interviews, yielded two principal findings. First, vocational training programmes have a statistically significant relationship with recidivism reduction ($R = .485$, $p < .000$), accounting for approximately 8.7% of the variance in reoffending rates. Second, community reintegration programmes also significantly predict recidivism reduction ($R = .174$, $p < .000$), though the magnitude of effect is more modest, reflecting the relative underdevelopment of structured reintegration infrastructure in the study context.

These findings carry clear policy implications. Correctional institutions in Abia State and across Nigeria should prioritize the expansion and market alignment of vocational training programmes, ensuring that skills acquired during incarceration translate directly into post-release employment opportunities. Curricula should be regularly updated in consultation with labour

market stakeholders to reflect current and emerging occupational demands. Simultaneously, community reintegration frameworks should be strengthened through the institutionalization of mentorship pairing, transitional housing provision, mental health counselling, and financial literacy education. Employers should be engaged through sensitization and incentive frameworks to reduce hiring stigma against ex-convicts, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of both vocational and reintegration investments.

Future research should pursue longitudinal designs capable of tracking ex-convicts over multiple years post-release to assess the durability of vocational and reintegration programme effects. Gender-disaggregated analyses are also warranted, given that male and female ex-convicts may present distinct rehabilitation needs and community reintegration challenges. Cross-jurisdictional comparative studies would further strengthen the evidence base for policy development in Nigerian correctional reform.

REFERENCES

- Abba, S. M. (2016). Rehabilitation of prison inmates through vocational skills acquisition programmes as perceived by prison officials in the North-West States, Nigeria. Unpublished study.
- Abrifor, C. A., Atere, A. A., & Muoghalu, C. O. (2021). Rehabilitation as a correctional approach in Nigerian prisons: Challenges and prospects. *African Journal of Social Work*, 11(3), 14–22.
- Akpunne, B. C., & Akinnawo, E. O. (2019). Access to basic needs as correlate of desire to participate in rehabilitation programmes among inmates of Nigerian prisons. *International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences*, 14(1), 55–68.
- Armstrong, R. (2018). Vocational training and recidivism: Evidence from Queensland correctional facilities. *Australian Institute of Criminology Reports*.
- Babbie, E. (1989). *The practice of social research* (5th ed.). Wadsworth.
- Berg, M. T., & Huebner, B. M. (2021). Re-entry and the ties that bind: An examination of social ties, employment, and recidivism. *Social Problems*, 58(4), 471–503.
- Berghuis, M. (2018). Reentry programs for adult male offender recidivism and reintegration: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 62(15), 4655–4676. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X18778448>
- Binswanger, I. A., Nowels, C., Corsi, K. F., Long, J., Booth, R. E., Kutner, J., & Steiner, J. F. (2011). "From the prison door right to the sidewalk, everything went downhill": A qualitative study of the health experiences of recently released inmates. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 34(4), 249–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlp.2011.07.002>

- Bouffard, J. A., MacKenzie, D. L., & Hickman, L. J. (2000). Effectiveness of vocational education and employment programs for adult offenders: A methodology-based analysis. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 31(1–2), 1–41.
- Bucklen, K. B., & Zajac, G. (2009). But some of them don't come back (to prison!): Resource deprivation and thinking errors as determinants of parole success and failure. *The Prison Journal*, 89(3), 239–264.
- Chenube, O. O. (2023). Risk factors predictive of recidivism among male prison inmates in Nigeria. *International Journal of Public Health*, 58(4), 637–642.
- Cragg, W. (1992). *The practice of punishment: Towards a theory of restorative justice*. Routledge.
- Darke, S., & Garcés, I. (2017). Extent of participation of prison inmates in vocational skills acquisition programmes in Sokoto State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies*, 10(1), 33–49.
- Davis, L. M., Bozick, R., Steele, J. L., Saunders, J., & Miles, J. N. V. (2023). *Evaluating the effectiveness of correctional education: A meta-analysis of programs that provide education to incarcerated adults*. RAND Corporation.
- Duwe, G. (2015). The benefits of keeping idle hands busy: An outcome evaluation of a prison work release program. *Crime & Delinquency*, 61(4), 559–586.
- Duwe, G., & Clark, V. (2015). Blessed be the social tie that binds: The effects of prison visitation on offender recidivism. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 24(3), 271–296.
- Franciscus, A. P., & Restu, M. (2022). The reintegration process of people with schizophrenia who repeated relapse return into the community. *Empati*, 11(1), 9–16.
<https://doi.org/10.15408/empati.v11i1.24861>
- Ganapathy, N. (2018). Rehabilitation, reintegration and recidivism: A theoretical and methodological reflection. *Asia Pacific Journal of Social Work*, 28(3), 1–14.
- Hirschi, T. (1969). *Causes of delinquency*. University of California Press.
- Igbinovia, P. E., & Omorogiuwa, T. B. E. (2019). The prison rehabilitation programmes and their effects on inmates in Benin City prisons, Nigeria. *International Journal of Sociology and Anthropology Research*, 5(1), 23–31.
- Johnna, C. (2022). The promise and challenge of local initiatives that support reentry and reintegration. *Annals of The American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 701(1), 191–203. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00027162221115380>
- Mardu, B., Berhe, A., Tesfaye, G., & Negash, A. (2019). Impact of vocational training on prisoner rehabilitation and reintegration: Evidence from Ethiopian correctional facilities. *Journal of African Criminology*, 6(2), 44–59.
- Martin, R., Pritikin, M., & Kelly, B. (2021). The role of peer support and mentorship in the successful reintegration of formerly incarcerated individuals. *Justice Quarterly*, 38(6), 1200–1223.
- Merton, R. K. (1957). *Social theory and social structure*. Free Press.

- Nally, J. M., Lockwood, S., Ho, T., & Knutson, K. (2014). Post-release recidivism and employment among different types of released offenders: A 5-year follow-up study in the United States. *International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences*, 9(1), 16–34.
- Navaid, A. (2022). Community centred approach to rehabilitation and reintegration of returnees. *Journal of Intelligence, Conflict and Warfare*, 5(2), 214–217. <https://doi.org/10.21810/jicw.v5i2.5054>
- Ndubueze, P. N. (2020). Critical issues in offenders' rehabilitation in the 21st century Nigeria. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1), 239–249.
- Omorogiuwa, T. B. E., & Egoh, P. R. (2021). Assessment of rehabilitation programmes on female inmates in Oko and Sapele Road Correctional Services, Benin City, Nigeria. *African Journal of Social Work*, 11(5), 264–272.
- Saifulahi-Idris, G., Ayodele, J. O., & Ikuteyijo, L. O. (2021). Freeing the caged: Indigenous alternatives to modern incarceration in Nigeria. *African Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 1(1), 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.51483/AFJHSS.1.1.2021.23-34>
- Saifulahi-Idris, G., Ayodele, J. O., & Ikuteyijo, L. O. (2023). Recidivism rates and rehabilitation in Abia State correctional centres: An empirical assessment. *Nigerian Journal of Criminology*, 9(1), 1–19.
- Siegel, L. (2005). *Criminology* (9th ed.). Thomson Wadsworth.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2023). *Introductory handbook on the prevention of recidivism and the social reintegration of offenders*. UNODC.
- Visher, C., Debus-Sherrill, S. A., & Yahner, J. (2021). *Employment after prison: A longitudinal study of releases in three states*. The Urban Institute.
- Vivares, K. (2023). The reintegration of ex-convicts in society: A case study. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v6-i10-52>
- Wheeler, T., & Patterson, E. (2018). Mental health and substance abuse as barriers to reentry. *Crime & Delinquency*, 64(6), 819–841.
- Wiafe, E. (2021). Willingness of employers to employ ex-convicts among selected SMEs in the western region of Ghana. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2020.1868670>
- Wilson, D. B., Gallagher, C. A., & MacKenzie, D. L. (2000). A meta-analysis of corrections-based education, vocation, and work programs for adult offenders. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 37(4), 347–368.